

IN THE MATTER OF * BEFORE THE
 NORMA HAUSERMAN - CAMPBELL * BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF
 * PSYCHOLOGISTS
 * * * * *

FINAL CONSENT ORDER

Based on information received and a subsequent investigation by the State Board of Examiners of Psychologists, ("Board") and subject to Maryland Health Occupations Code Annotated ("H.O.") §18-315, the Board charged Norma Hauserman - Campbell, Ph.D. ("Respondent") with conduct subject to Board discipline pursuant to H.O. §18-313.

The Respondent was given notice of the charges and their factual basis by letter of January 31, 1991. A prehearing conference was held on February 22, 1991, and was attended by Barbara Knox, Ph.D., Board Chairman; Roslyn Blankman, Board Administrator; and Susan Steinberg, Staff Attorney, Counsel to the Board. Also, in attendance were Respondent Norma Hauserman-Campbell, Ph.D., and her husband, Robert Campbell. Respondent was advised of her right to have counsel, and waived said right.

Following the prehearing conference, the parties and the Board agreed to resolve the administrative charges by way of settlement. The parties and the Board agreed to stipulate to the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order and its conditions, as set forth herein.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent is and was, at the time of the violations

alleged in this action, licensed to practice psychology in Maryland.

2. Carol Wagner Adams is not, and at all times relevant to these charges was not, a psychologist licensed to practice psychology in Maryland.

3. Carol Wagner Adams is not, and at all times relevant to these charges was not exempted from the licensing requirements pursuant to Health Occupation §18-301(b).

4. At all times relevant, Carol Wagner Adams was employed by Respondent.

5. On or about January 11, 1989, Elizabeth Lichtenstein began seeing Carol Wagner Adams for treatment of an eating disorder, at the recommendation of Respondent.

6. Elizabeth Lichtenstein continued to be seen by Carol Wagner Adams until July 27, 1989.

7. During the period in question, Elizabeth Lichtenstein was never seen, interviewed or treated by Respondent, although Respondent was consulted on a regular basis by Ms. Adams in reference to Beth's treatment.

8. A billing statement dated August 17, 1989, on Respondent's letterhead, states a diagnosis of adjustment disorder with mixed emotional features, and lists numerous dates of which psychotherapy, group therapy or individual psychotherapy was provided.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Board of Examiners of Psychologists concludes as a matter of law that Respondent Norma Hauserman - Campbell, Ph.D. violated Health Occupation §18-313(5) of the Maryland Psychologists Act, which provides, that the Board may discipline any licensee who:

- (5) Aids or abets an unauthorized person in practicing psychology or representing oneself to be a psychologist.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and agreement of the parties, it is this day, by unanimous vote of the Board,

ORDERED that the Respondent is placed on PROBATION subject to the following TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

1. During the entire period of probation, Respondent shall participate in continued education as required of licensees in good standing, per H.O. §18-309(d).
2. Respondent shall take and successfully complete with a passing grade, a graduate level class in ethics for psychologists. The name and description of the course shall be submitted to the Board for approval before enrolling in the class.
3. Respondent shall not supervise any psychology associate, student, intern or other individual in the practice of psychology for a period of three (3) years

from date of this Order. This term and condition shall survive after the termination of the probation period. Respondent can continue her pro bono work with Rational Recovery, and can continue to train individuals, as long as Respondent does not supervise the service of clients.

4. Respondent shall discontinue any professional or working relationship with Carol Wagner Adams.
5. Upon successful completion of an ethics course approved by the Board, Respondent may apply for termination of the probation.
6. The Board shall terminate the probation if all terms and conditions of this Order are fulfilled.
7. If Respondent fails to fulfill terms and conditions of paragraph 2 by September 1, 1992, the Consent Order is to be declared void, and the Board may continue the prosecution of the original charges.

And be it further ORDERED as follows:

- A. This order is entered without prejudice to future action on any unrelated complaints or information concerning Respondent's practice coming to the Board's attention before or after this date.
- B. If Respondent is found by the Board to have violated any provision of this Order or the Maryland Psychologists Act, the Board may, after affording the Respondent a hearing and pertinent

procedural safeguards, impose further sanctions as it deems appropriate.

- C. This is a Final Order and as such is a public document pursuant to Md. State Gov't Code Ann. ("S.G.") §10-611 et. seq.

3-7-91

Date

Lawrence Donner Ph.D.

Lawrence Donner, Chairman
Board of Examiners of Psychologist

CONSENT OF NORMA HAUSERMAN - CAMPBELL

I, Norma Hauserman - Campbell, Ph.D., by affixing my signature hereto, acknowledge that:

1. I was advised to retain counsel and was given the opportunity to retain and consult with counsel for the prehearing conference and before signing this document. I knowingly and voluntarily waive my right to counsel.

2. I am aware that without my consent, my license as a psychologist in this State cannot be limited except pursuant to the provisions of §18-315 of the Act, and 10-204 et seq. State Government Article, Annotated Code of Maryland;

3. I am aware that I am entitled to a formal evidentiary hearing before the Board.

By this Consent, I hereby consent and submit to the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order. By doing so, I waive my right to a formal hearing and acknowledge the validity of the Order as if made after a hearing in which I would have had the right to counsel, to confront witnesses, to

give testimony and to call witnesses on my own behalf and to all other substantive and procedural protections provided by law. I also recognize that, with regard to this Order, I am waiving my rights to appeal as set forth in §18-316 of the Act and §10-215 of the Administrative Procedure act, State Government Article, Annotated Code of Maryland. By this consent, I waive all such rights and acknowledge that by my failure to abide by the conditions set forth in this Order I may suffer further disciplinary action against my license to practice psychology in the State of Maryland.

Norma Hauserman-Campbell
Norma Hauserman - Campbell

STATE OF MARYLAND)
CITY/COUNTY OF)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 27th day of February, 1991, before me, a Notary Public of the State and County aforesaid, personally appeared Norma Hauserman - Campbell and made oath in due from of law that the foregoing Consent Order was her voluntary act and deed.

AS WITNESS my hand Notarial Seal.

Melanie L. Hughes
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: March 5, 1994